



# Neil Cummins Elementary

58 Mohawk Ave. • Corte Madera, CA, 94925-1033 • 415-927-6965 • Grades K-5

Patty Flynn Elliot, Principal

[pelliott@lcmschools.org](mailto:pelliott@lcmschools.org)

<https://www.lcmschools.org/Domain/67>

## 2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



### Larkspur-Corte Madera School District

230 Doherty Drive  
Larkspur, CA 94939

(415) 927-6960

[www.lcmschools.org](http://www.lcmschools.org)

#### District Governing Board

Monica Cañas

Katherine Chan

Sarah Mueller

Jill Sellers

Katie Zwarg

#### District Administration

Brett Geithman, Ed. D.

**Superintendent**

Daniel Norbutas

**Senior Director of Educational  
Services and Technology**

Paula Rigney

**Chief Business Official**

Megan Dunn

**Director of Special Education**

Erik vonBlakenburg

**Director of Facilities**

### School Description

Located in Corte Madera, California in scenic Marin County, just north of San Francisco's Golden Gate Bridge, Neil Cummins Elementary School is known for its excellence in education. Neil Cummins is a public elementary school serving pre-kindergarten through fifth graders in the Larkspur-Corte Madera School District.

### School Vision Statement

We believe all children are capable of learning, and it is our responsibility to provide a rich, positive, safe and engaging learning environment that fosters their desire to learn and meets the challenges of a changing world.

### School Mission Statement

Together our staff, parents, students and community are dedicated to the ongoing education of all young people in the Neil Cummins School. We work collaboratively to teach, mentor, motivate, challenge, and inspire our students. Our goal is for our students to become independent, critical thinkers who respond ethically to the challenges facing the world. We accomplish our mission through a well-rounded curriculum including rigorous academic instruction. We differentiate the curriculum to meet divergent learning styles in a variety of ways including implementing experiential, thematic and project-based learning wherever appropriate. Our focus is on educating the whole child, addressing cognitive, emotional, social, and physical growth. Best practices are enhanced by frequent assessment, regular opportunities for reflection, and innovative, ongoing professional development for our highly qualified, inspirational staff.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	91
Grade 1	87
Grade 2	95
Grade 3	94
Grade 4	108
Grade 5	105
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>580</b>

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	5.3
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	10.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	74.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3.1
English Learners	3.1
Students with Disabilities	9.0
Foster Youth	0.2

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials			
Neil Cummins Elementary	16-17	17-18	18-19
<b>With Full Credential</b>	35	33	34
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	16-17	17-18	18-19
<b>With Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	93
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	♦	♦	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	♦	♦	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Neil Cummins Elementary	16-17	17-18	18-19
<b>Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

\* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: November 2018	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Writing Workshop, Teachers College/2015, Reading Workshop, Teachers College/2018 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
Mathematics	Pearson TERC Investigations 3rd Edition/2017 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
Science	FOSS NGSS/2015 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman/2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
Foreign Language	N/A Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
Health	N/A Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %
Science Laboratory Equipment	N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The site achieved a "Good" status (98.55%) on its most recent FIT report dated 12/26/18.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 12/26/2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	None
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Good	Gym: Wallpaper patching needed Music Room: Stain on floor near back door
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	None
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Good	Gym Restrooms: Ballast needs replacing
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	Building B Restrooms: One faucet not working
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	None
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	C5: Small leak in roof

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 12/26/2018		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	A1: Front door leak A5: Interior door out of alignment B2: Interior door out of alignment B3: Interior door out of alignment C2: Loose and missing screws on door hardware E3: Automatic door closer missing cover plate F3: Front door stop loose
Overall Rating	Good	

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	76.0	77.0	79.0	78.0	48.0	50.0
Math	71.0	74.0	69.0	73.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade Level	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	5.7	27.6	61.0

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	307	302	98.37	76.82
Male	153	152	99.35	72.37
Female	154	150	97.40	81.33
Asian	16	15	93.75	80.00
Hispanic or Latino	35	35	100.00	51.43
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	223	219	98.21	80.82
Two or More Races	30	30	100.00	76.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	26	96.30	34.62
English Learners	25	24	96.00	37.50
Students with Disabilities	41	40	97.56	52.50

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	307	302	98.37	73.84
Male	153	151	98.69	78.15
Female	154	151	98.05	69.54
Asian	16	16	100	81.25
Hispanic or Latino	35	35	100	45.71
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	223	219	98.21	78.08
Two or More Races	30	29	96.67	75.86
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	27	100	33.33
English Learners	25	25	100	40
Students with Disabilities	41	40	97.56	40

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**C. Engagement**

**State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)**

Neil Cummins Elementary School provides for the involvement of parents and community through a variety of means. The family school-connection is intentionally developed by a wide array of parent involvement/partnership activities such as parent-teacher conferences, School Site Council, PTO, regular Principal Parent Chats, Superintendent Coffee Chats, New Family Welcome event, Back to School Blast, Kindergarten Social and parent education events. Critical information is communicated to parents in a variety of ways, such as school and district electronic newsletters (print versions available as necessary), website postings, email, text messages and telephone (voicemail). Parents have access to teacher and administrative voicemail and email for quick communication. The School Site Council (consisting of parents, administration, and staff members) conducts a parent survey annually to determine perceived areas of strength and need. The findings are used to help administration and staff prioritize goals and programs for the upcoming year. The PTO and SPARK (Larkspur-Corte Madera Schools Foundation) provide strong venues for parent participation and support of the schools. There are faculty representatives for both groups to facilitate communication. Both the PTO and SPARK are staffed by parent volunteers who are passionate about improving the quality of our students' education, supporting teachers and administrators, keeping families connected to school events, beautifying the campus and much more.

**State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

**School Safety Plan**

Neil Cummins has a Comprehensive School Safety Plan that outlines the strategies and programs to address; a) child abuse reporting procedures; b) disaster procedures; c) policies regarding actions leading to suspension and/or expulsion; d) notification to teachers of dangerous pupils; e) sexual harassment policy; f) dress code; and g) rules and procedures on school discipline. The plan is updated and reviewed annually.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.7
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	0.9	0.6	1.3
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

**D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.3
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.91
Psychologist	.5
Social Worker	0.0
Nurse	.2
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.9
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.5
Other	0.0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	N/A

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
K	24	23	23				4	4	4			
1	22	24	22	1			3	4	4			
2	23	23	24				4	4	4			
3	24	23	24				4	4	4			
4	24	22	27				4	4	4			
5	26	20	26				4	4	4			

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Professional Development provided for Teachers

The focus of professional development for the 2016-2017 school year was training in Writing Workshop techniques and practices. This area of focus was chosen based on teacher requests for formal training in Writing Workshop. The district uses the Workshop rubric for scoring the three on-demand benchmark assessments administered at each grade level. The training supported teachers in effective strategies for writing instruction and using the benchmark assessments to guide instruction. The trainers worked with grade level teams during the three district professional development days and several half-day workshop sessions throughout the school year.

The focus of professional development for the 2017-2018 school year was training in the implementation of newly adopted math curriculum. Teachers worked with trainers from Teacher Education Research Center (TERC), the authors of the Pearson Investigations math curriculum, as well as math coaches from the county office of education. The focus of the work was implementing the new curriculum and integrating math practices, number talks and student discourse in math. The trainers worked with grade level teams during the three district professional development days, as well as grade level meetings and staff meetings, to facilitate grade-level based study of math practices and analysis of student work.

The focus of professional development for the 2018-2019 school year has been reading and math. With respect to reading, teachers are working with trainers from Momentum in Teaching to implement the newly-adopted Reading Workshop curriculum. Math professional development has been provided by UCLA Center X and is focused on Cognitively Guided Instruction and strategies to increase student access and engagement with math and expand student discourse around math concepts. The four district professional development days have been devoted to training by Momentum in Teaching and UCLA Center X. Additionally, the trainers in both reading and math work with district grade level teams in the form of lab days where instruction is modeled, practiced and debriefed. These additional days are designed to follow up with instructional design, planning, and data analysis as new practices are implemented in classroom instruction. A number of grade level and staff meetings are also devoted to reading and math professional development.

As a unified support to instructional shifts, the district office and administrative site leaders have started Collaborative Inquiry Visits to each site to continue to support teacher professional development with feedback from school wide observations.

Additionally, administrators provide professional growth opportunities during faculty meetings that occur twice a month. Grade level teams meet weekly to collaborate on instructional practices and student learning. The district also provides peer coaching through Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSA) who work with teachers individually and in teams.

Principals work with teachers to identify professional growth goals and avenues for support, including coaching, conferences and workshops.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$53,234	\$48,064
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$79,722	\$75,417
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,793	\$94,006
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$147,900	\$119,037
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$144,293	\$123,140
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$135,974
Superintendent Salary	\$267,507	\$183,692
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	41.0	36.0
Administrative Salaries	8.0	6.0

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

Neil Cummins provides a comprehensive school program. In addition to providing a CCSS aligned academic curriculum in all core subject areas, enrichment opportunities with technology, art, music, science and physical education are offered. Our school provides library services, counseling, specific support for English Language Learners and academic/intervention support.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$12,424	\$1438	\$10,987	\$82,905
District	◆	◆	\$10,987	\$82,905
State	◆	◆	\$7,125	\$76,046
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.0	0.0
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			42.6	8.6

\* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.